UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT Thurgood Marshall U.S. Courthouse 40 Foley Square, New York, NY 10007 Telephone: 212-857-8500

MOTION INFORMATION STATEMENT

Docket Number(s): 15-2801(L); 15-2805(Con)	Caption [use short title]
Motion for: Extension of Time	National Football League Management Council, et al.
Set forth below precise, complete statement of relief sought: Entry of 14-day extension of time to petition for	National Football League Players Association, et al.
rehearing and rehearing en banc.	-
	- - -
MOVING PARTY: NFL Players Association, Brady Plaintiff Defendant Appellant/Petitioner Appellee/Respondent	OPPOSING PARTY: NFL Management Council, NFL
MOVING ATTORNEY: Theodore B. Olson	OPPOSING ATTORNEY: Paul Clement
[name of attorney, with firm, a Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	ddress, phone number and e-mail] Bancroft PLLC
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20036	500 New Jersey Avenue, NW, Seventh Floor, Washington, DC 20001
(202) 955-8668; tolson@gibsondunn.com	(202) 234-0090; pclement@bancroftpllc.com
Court-Judge/Agency appealed from: SDNY - Judge Berman	
Please check appropriate boxes: Has movant notified opposing counsel (required by Local Rule 27.1): Yes No (explain):	FOR EMERGENCY MOTIONS, MOTIONS FOR STAYS AND INJUNCTIONS PENDING APPEAL: Has request for relief been made below? Has this relief been previously sought in this Court? Requested return date and explanation of emergency:
Opposing counsel's position on motion: Unopposed Opposed Opposed Opposed Opposed Opposing counsel intend to file a response: Yes No Opposing Counsel intend to file a response:	
Is oral argument on motion requested?	for oral argument will not necessarily be granted)
Has argument date of appeal been set? Yes V No If yes, enter	
Signature of Moving Attorney: Date: Apr. 29, 2016	Service by: CM/ECF Other [Attach proof of service]

No. 15-2801 (L), No. 15-2805 (CON)

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE MANAGEMENT COUNCIL, Plaintiff-Counter-Defendant-Appellant,

and

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE, Defendant-Appellant,

 ν .

NATIONAL FOOTBALL LEAGUE PLAYERS ASSOCIATION, on its own behalf and on behalf of Tom Brady, Defendant-Counter-Claimant-Appellee,

and

TOM BRADY, Counter-Claimant-Appellee.

MOTION BY APPELLEES FOR EXTENSION

OF TIME TO FILE PETITION FOR PANEL REHEARING AND REHEARING EN BANC

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26(b), Defendant-Appellee National Football League Players Association ("NFLPA") and Appellee Tom Brady (collectively, "Appellees") respectfully move this Court for a 14-day extension of time within which to file a petition for panel rehearing and rehearing en banc. Appellees have not previously requested an extension. Appellants have not determined their position on this motion at this time.

On April 25, 2016, this Court issued a published opinion in this case, reversing the district court's order vacating the NFL Commissioner's arbitral award and remanding with instructions to confirm the award. In particular, the majority held that the Commissioner had discretion to determine and impose player punishments under the collective bargaining agreement ("CBA") that governs the relationship between the National Football League ("NFL") and the more than 1600 players that participate in the League and are members of the NFLPA, the "Commissioner properly exercised his broad discretion" in issuing a four-game suspension for suspected football deflation, and the arbitral award should be affirmed. Slip Op. at 4-5. Appellees are currently evaluating that decision and considering a petition for panel rehearing or rehearing en banc. Absent an extension, any petition for rehearing or rehearing en banc would be due on May 9, 2016. See Fed. R. App. P. 35(c); Fed. R. App. P. 40(a)(1). If the requested extension is granted, any petition would be due on May 23, 2016.

Good cause exists for a 14-day extension in these proceedings for at least three reasons.

First, the Court's decision addresses important issues regarding the meaning and effect of the parties' CBA that will have serious consequences for each of the NFLPA's over 1600 members and the sport of professional football. Specifically, the majority's opinion addresses the scope of the Commissioner's authority to identify proscribed conduct and dispense penalties under the parties' CBA, as well as an arbitrator's procedural duties and substantive obligations to players appealing those penalties. The Court's opinion will affect the rights of every player in the NFL. Accordingly, the NFLPA and its members would benefit from additional time to analyze the implications of the decision for labor-management relations between the NFL and the NFLPA.

Second, the Court's decision raises significant labor law issues that could have far-reaching consequences for *all* employees subject to collective bargaining agreements. In particular, the opinion addresses the contours of an arbitrator's duty to consider and address probative terms under a collective bargaining agreement, and to consider and address the arguments raised by an employee subject to discipline under that agreement. These aspects of the Court's opinion are of great importance not only to NFL players, but to all unionized employees. Additional

time is necessary to evaluate these issues and, if necessary, to prepare a petition for rehearing that will appropriately aid the Court in evaluating the importance of further review.

Third, the NFLPA needs additional time to coordinate and consult with its leadership bodies and members. The NFLPA's Executive Committee is an 11-member body, while the Board of Player Representatives is comprised by 32 player representatives (one for each of the NFL's 32 teams) who have varying schedules and are geographically dispersed. A 14-day extension will ensure that the NFLPA has sufficient time to coordinate and consult with its membership.

Finally, while good cause exists to grant the requested extension, counsel avers that the extension request is not made for purposes of unnecessary delay, and Appellants will not be prejudiced if the extension is granted. The NFL regular season does not begin until September 2016.

For the foregoing reasons, Appellees respectfully submit that a 14-day extension of the due date for filing a petition for rehearing and rehearing en banc should be granted.

April 29, 2016

JEFFREY L. KESSLER DAVID L. GREENSPAN Winston & Strawn LLP 200 Park Avenue New York, NY 10166 (212) 294-6700 jkessler@winston.com

STEFFEN N. JOHNSON Winston & Strawn LLP 1700 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 282-5000 sjohnson@winston.com Respectfully submitted,

THEODORE B. OLSON
ANDREW S. TULUMELLO
Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP
1050 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036
(202) 955-8500
tolson@gibsondunn.com
atulumello@gibsondunn.com

DeMaurice F. Smith National Football League Players Association 1133 20th Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 756-9136

Counsel for Appellees National Football League Players Association and Tom Brady

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 29th day of April, 2016, I caused the foregoing Motion for an Extension of Time to File a Petition for Panel Rehearing or Rehearing En Banc to be filed with the Clerk of the Court for the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit via the Court's CM/ECF system. I further certify that service was accomplished on all parties via electronic filing.

Theodore B. Olson